

THE LOUISVILLE WEEKLY JOURNAL.

VOLUME XXXIII.

THE WEEKLY JOURNAL,
PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY
PRENTICE, HENDERSON, & OSBORNE,
JOURNAL OFFICE BUILDING, GREEN STREET,
BETWEEN THIRD AND FOURTH.

EXTRA! Extra!—The Journal
County Daily \$1.50. Weekly \$1.00.
Sundays or months or more \$1.00. Evening Bulletin
\$1.00. Mailed \$1.00.

Some money sent in regular letters, only, at one rate
Annual subscription \$1.00. Two dollars for two years.
Weekly Journal—each square \$1.00 less or more
Subscription \$1.00.

ALL advertisements must be paid
for in advance or assumed by a
responsible person in this city. This
rule will not be deviated from.

GEO. D. PRENTICE, Editors.

PAUL R. SHIPMAN.

AGENTS.

S. H. Haynes, Elizabeth,

M. L. Johnson, Louisville,

W. F. Shewell, New Orleans,

J. W. Head, Birmingham,

W. M. McRae, Mobile,

W. N. Vaughan, Greenbury,

H. C. Moore, Atlanta,

H. K. Hey, Meridian,

J. S. & S. & S. & S., Memphis,

J. H. Henton, Macon,

J. S. & S. & S., Berryville,

W. G. Green, Woodstock,

J. H. Chastain, Camp Hill,

D. O. Shackelford, Madison,

J. A. & J. A. & J. A., Greenville,

J. W. Carter, Sugar Plant,

J. P. Gott, Ardmore.

The above agents are anxious to receive
for money sent in regular letters.

TUESDAY, APRIL 29, 1862.

NEW ORLEANS.—We have strong hopes that we shall be able to publish on our third page, either this morning or to-morrow morning, a full confirmation of the news as to the capture of New Orleans. If that great and most important of Southern cities has fallen, the event is one of the most momentous in this mighty war. It is the plucking out of the Southern Confederacy's soul.

But till we hear more, we will utter no ex-
alations.

THE people are looking anxiously every morning and every evening for tremendous news from Pittsburg Landing or Corinth, but they must be patient. They ought to understand the cause of the delay. Gen. Halleck and Gen. Buell are no laggards. They are officers of immense energy. Under any other than the most extraordinary circumstances the second great battle near Corinth would, unless prevented by the flight of the enemy, have been fought several days ago—and won several days ago.

But all who come from Pittsburg Landing testify that at no time within the last two weeks has the movement of an army with its artillery from the Tennessee River to Corinth been physically possible. Such frequent and copious rains as have been drenching the earth during the last three or four months and especially within the past few days are undoubtedly responsible for the present situation. There is nothing like them on record. When our troops move it will be beyond all doubt the necessary delay is in one important respect favorable to the rebels, for it gives them much time to construct fortifications which our forces must attack. But this is nobody's fault, and although it is a great misfortune, we are confident that the bravery and patriotism of our troops and the skill of their officers will set all right.

We fully believe, that, for the wonderful amount of rain that has fallen, this rebellion will have closed months ago.

A rebel soldier named A. Kyle, belonging to Company G, 18th regiment Louisiana volunteers, was wounded at Pittsburg Landing, and while being conveyed to this city died of his wounds. His body was left at Owensboro for burial. The Southern Shield, of that place, says it was placed in a box three inches too short for it, a circumstance mentioned, but explained by the fact that he was of the extraordinary height of six feet six inches. The shield also says:

A meeting was called by the Southern citizens of that town and preparations made for a suitable burial. The body was laid out in the church, and the appointed time our streets were thronged with people from all sections of the country, who had come to pay their respects. At two o'clock the remains were conveyed to the Methodist Church, where an impressive and eloquent sermon was delivered by Dr. J. C. Nichols. The number of spectators at the church was variously estimated at 1,000 to 2,000. After the services were over, the procession concluded the procession repaired to the cemetery, where they deposited the remains of the brave but gallant soldier, who had given up his life in the defense of his country and C. S. A.'s country's cause.

It may be some consolation to the friends of the deceased to know—that buried among strangers in a strange land, he was interred in a manner befitting a hero. The Southern Shield, of that place, says it was placed in a box three inches too short for it, a circumstance mentioned, but explained by the fact that he was of the extraordinary height of six feet six inches. The shield also says:

There are also some competitions of interest, arising from the devotion of different sections to agriculture, commerce, or manufacturing. But these competitions are inevitable, and must affect.

In the case of the United States, there is no need that this should be the case.

The Federal system assigns the care of these local interests to the individual States. If they can make up their minds to attend to their own business, and not meddle with the concerns of their neighbors, and be satisfied with the possession of their due share, then there will be no political antagonism.

There are indeed some points of dissimilarity. In one section, the original settlers of the States were strongly imbued with the English Puritan element; and in another section, the English cavalier. The result of this, the prevailing element is self-righteousness and narrowness of mind. The Union citizens, who had been subjected to a merciless despotism, rejected at the arrival of their deliverers and were prompt and zealous in making declarations of their loyalty.

A few days since, as was recorded in our columns, the U. S. military forces left Jacksonville for some point where their services were needed, and immediately therupon the secessionists, reinforced by other rebels, all formidable armed, came back, and with the resolute rebels who had stayed behind and were kindly treated, commenced a war of extermination against the U. S. citizens,挂克, and the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

Hold that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

The argument here is that the rebellion grew out of the dread of negro equality instead of devotion to the rights of the States, wherefore the emancipation of the slaves on the soil would strengthen the rebellion by strengthening its motive. This is the argument with which Col. Blair vindicates the policy of the President and combats the radicals who claim for emancipation as a war measure. The conclusion is irresistible, as the argument stands, but the argument is incomplete.

If the rebels are to be believed, the capture of the two forts below New Orleans by our fleet was one of the most wonderful achievements in the history of war. Less than three weeks ago we read an article in one of the leading papers of New Orleans, purporting to be a statement of the city's defenses. The writer said that the Forts had each a hundred guns of the greatest known caliber; that several thousand cables were stretched across the river between them which no man could cross except through a hole in them; which time the forts could sink the whole navy of the United States; that, besides, innumerable torpedoes were planted there ready to be let off at any moment and capable of annihilating anything that floated; and that, even if it were possible for a fleet to pass all these terrible obstacles, the forts, the chain, and the ironclams, it would still find its perils but just begun, the whole river above being lined upon both banks with most formidable batteries, and New Orleans itself being rendered impregnable by the vast forces just without its verge.

Now as this is a real station appearing in a high quarter, and as we all know that rebels never exaggerate for purposes of intimidation or anything else, we must certainly conclude that Com. Farragut's fleet could take Gibraltar any morning before breakfast! What whale exploit of ancient or modern times can compare with this last triumph of our glorious navy?

All our rebels here in Kentucky justify themselves by which the Confederate Government forces the whole Southern people into the war under the severest penalties. That, we suppose, is the "freedom of thought and action" which they claim for so strenuously and so nobly.

Every day of the continuance of this war reveals fresh proofs of the barbarity, the rapacity, the ferocity of the rebels, and their evidence of the tremendous power, vast resources, and mighty energies of the United States.

The Southern papers are publishing that "the Federal have run away from Pittsburgh Landing." When our troops run away from that point, they will probably run in a direction that the rebels won't like.

The rebels think that we are treating them much as a gentleman of rather sharp practice treated a couple of fellows disputing about a lot of oysters. He ate the oysters and gave them shells.

In the occupation of New Orleans we have the Rebel Confederacy by the jugular. We hope that no very close compression of the Federal fingers will be rendered necessary by the desperate strangles of the victim.

A Memphis letter speaks of Gen. Price as laid up "with a bad cold." Of course his nose is running continually. Ah, he can't last long. A cast tapped at both ends will soon run out.

The rebels, from their hotheaded up, have never learned to appreciate the bravery of anybody but themselves. They are at school now. And a good deal of whipping may be necessary in that school.

Everywhere the rebel armies, instead of marching boldly against us, intrude themselves. Their reliance is far less upon chivalry than upon shelter.

Bearssard is a fooler up principle. He thinks that to tell the truth, as it would be submission to the law of God, would lower his proud character as a rebel.

What single advantage have the rebels derived from this war except the humiliation of their foolish pride and vanity?

There was no Gen. Jackson to defend New Orleans agains men fighting under the old flag of the Union.

The rebels are praying for hot weather, but we guess their army will melt away long before the greatest heat comes.

It may sometimes become necessary that the tree of liberty shall have a rope suspended from every branch.

Few of the rebel soldiers have "guilt upon their skirts" for they have got no skirts.

It is said that the Yankees no longer make their uniforms of wood or lead.

Gen. Prentiss was taken—first by surprise and then by Bearssard.

If the ocean were larger now, our tonic friends would demolish their dykes.

Hasty and inconsiderate love or friendship is the sickly growth of a hot-house.

The Southern men are brave, but that's no reason why they should be braves.

Among the Italian contributions to the great London exhibition will be a set of the drafting instruments used by the officers of Francis II, ex-King, to torture prisoners in Naples and Sicily. This was lately discovered at Palermo, and it is now known that the King was in some ground near the prison. They consist of "the cap of silence," a sort of helmet, which at once gagged and blindfolded the victim; "the burning chair," the back of which was made hot to allow the introduction of hot iron plates; and the "furnace," being a metal hot attached to a stove, which was lighted under the feet of the condemned prisoners.

A cheering crowd from Washington saluted the arrival of the rebels at Corinth on the first of July will not be over six hundred millions. He says the estimate of daily expenses has been overstated. He has proposed to the Committee of Ways and Means to issue twenty-five millions of notes denominated under five.

ROUSSEAU AND BRECKINRIDGE.—The following is from the Shiloh correspondence of the Cincinnati Gazette:

By a singular chance John C. Breckinridge, who was the commanding officer of the Legion, the first corps of Uni-n troops formed in Kentucky, a portion of Rousseau's brigade in the 2nd, was then lost to the rebels, and was wavering in her position the gallant Rousseau continued recruiting for the Uni-n Louisville.

Breckinridge, a traitor in the traitor's service, in the Senate of the United States, and he was bitterly disdained of Rousseau. But he was a man of great energy and ability, and he had command, and courage, and tact as he is. The 2nd, however, was then lost to the rebels, and the long-looked-for contest came off.

The combat with the 2nd Generals was fierce. Rousseau, however, was a valiant soldier, rode down his lines and showers of bullet shot his way to follow him to victory or death. The 2nd, however, was then lost to the rebels, but the rest of the battle and conflict of arms served his gallant soul to the highest pitch.

M. MITCHELL, Brig. Gen.

Cairo, May 2.

The news from Pittsburg is of the highest importance. It transmutes over the wires is

Memphis paper of the 29th says that at a meeting held the night before it was concluded to the city in case of the approach of the rebels.

The editors are urgenting on the people that the city be prepared to repel the rebels, and when they again returned to the contest, Breckinridge's brigade was now

ITEMS OF NASHVILLE NEWS.—We clip the following from the Nashville Union of yesterday, the 1st inst.:

Our courts are proceeding pretty much as usual. The trial of a man charged with the regular business practice of it's accusation and defense has arrived at Selma, Ala. The officers were to be sent to Talladega, and the private to Mont-

gomery, Ala. The trial of the 21st April, 1862, of the 2nd, was adjourned to the 21st of May.

The passenger and freight trains on the Louisville and Nashville road are making daily progress, and the mail and express road run far as Chattanooga, road run far as Louisville and Nashville and connects by road with Shreveport.

Homes left vacant, sometimes since, are now occupied again, and the city is becoming active. This is the case with both dwellings and business houses.

Business in the state are being affected at reasonably good prices. Prices rapidly moderating, to a reasonable standard, and custom proportionately increasing.

The passenger and freight trains on the Louisville and Nashville road are making daily progress, and the mail and express road run far as Chattanooga, road run far as Louisville and Nashville and connects by road with Shreveport.

A number of prisoners were brought to the city yesterday, and it was reported on the streets that several well-known citizens of Ruth-

ford county were among them.

The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a consideration which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries of the rebels were throwing shot at gunboats, two "sooth ladies" came out and stood on the parapet of one of the rebel shells. This was certainly a most remarkable exhibition of audacity. A very gallant Brigadier-General who saw them gave orders to the pickets not to fire upon them. This shows the fair creatures who were then treated with a considera-

tion which hardly would have been extended to those poor wretches who were other garments.

LAWRENCE WOODSON.—He is glad to

see the news that the fort has been

reduced to a mere shell.

THE PROPOSED TAX ON DOGS.—

WASHINGTON, April 29.

From the *Advertiser* of New Orleans:

"The proposed tax on dogs is too heavy

and should be curtailed.

FAIR CREDIT.—A war correspondent re-

lates an incident which happened a few days ago in front of the fortifications at Yorktown. While the water batteries

